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Why are new/improved monitoring technologies needed?

Improvements and innovations to sensors and monitoring strategies enable project operators to verify that the site is meeting requirements while increasing efficiency, safety (and reducing costs)
How do we advance technologies/techniques?

- Improve understanding of processes or response of the subsurface/at surface to improve efficiency of monitoring
- Improve sensitivity, reliability, repeatability of technologies & techniques
- Reduce cost of deploying sensors (lower cost tools, more efficient data handling/processing/interpretation, lower maintenance, greater longevity)
Outcomes from ENOS WP3 stakeholder workshop (26/4/18)

- Onshore monitoring technology development needs identified by storage operators & ENOS tools presented

Key outcomes:

- Some common research needs identified by storage operators (e.g. cheaper, long-lasting tools, more efficient data handling, processing and interpretation....)

- Integration of different monitoring technologies essential, but monitoring solution will vary from site to site and over site lifetime
Example: Decatur project monitoring plan
Monitoring tool selection

- A key challenge for storage site operators – what to choose?

http://www.ieaghg.org/ccs-resources/monitoring-selection-tool1
Reducing risks & improving monitoring capabilities through design & planning

- Using improved understanding of potential leakage pathways (e.g. boreholes and faults) to improve monitoring strategies
- Modelling expected response of site (including potential leakage pathways)
Time-lapse seismic monitoring at the Snøhvit Field

Downhole pressure data shows increasing pressure during initial injection phase – approaching estimated fracture pressure.

Top: discrimination of pressure and saturation changes using spectral decomposition of seismic data.

Right: The inverted pressure and saturation changes from Grude et al. (2013).

Results show a striking correlation.
Compressive sensing techniques can use randomly sampled receiver positions to interpolate missing data and provide a possible cost effective technique for monitoring conformance.

Synthetic study of CO\textsubscript{2} injection – aligned to the Northern Lights project - testing the power of compressive sensing with curvelet transforms. The results are constructed from 20% of the usual receiver positions and offer a potential method for cost effective monitoring.
Utsira Sand laboratory measurements (DiSECCS project - Suarez et al., 2017)
Synthetic seismic modelling to explore migration mechanisms at Sleipner (1)
Synthetic seismic modelling to explore migration mechanisms at Sleipner (3)
Synthetic seismic modelling to explore migration mechanisms at Sleipner (3)
Downhole monitoring

HI Injection Well

2 P/T sensors located within the reservoir

DAS for tubing leakage

1 P/T sensor located within the cap-rock

HA Observation Well

Not to scale

- Fluid sampling System (1428 & 1459 m MD)
- 2 P/T Sensors (1434 & 1459 m MD)
- DTS Fiber Optic cable (1465 m MD end)
- 6 ERT sensors every 8 m (1500 - 1540 m MD)
- 11 ERT sensors every 9 m (1509,10 - 1545,10 m MD)
- 12 ERT sensors every 9 m (1506,30 - 1542,30 m MD)
- 5 ERT sensors every 9 m (1477,30 m MD and ERT cable)
- 1 ERT sensor located within the cap-rock
- 2 P/T sensors located within the reservoir
- DAS for tubing leakage

- 6 ERT sensors every 9 m (1485,25 - 1532,25 m MD)
- 11 ERT sensors every 9 m (1481,30 - 1528,30 m MD)
- 5 ERT sensors every 9 m (1474,30 m MD and ERT cable)
- 1 ERT sensor located within the cap-rock
- 2 P/T sensors located within the reservoir
- DAS for tubing leakage

1273 m MD Lías Margoso
1392 m MD Frm. Pozazal
1436 m MD Sopeña Calizo
6" Open Hole
1514 m MD Sopeña Dolom.
1555 m. MD Carniolas
1570 m MD TD
(1465 m MD end)

1257 m MD Lias Margoso
1278,82 m MD P/T Sensor 1
1375 m MD Pozazal
1383,40 m MD P/T Sensor 2
1417 m MD Sopeña Calizo
1441,41 m MD P/T Sensor 3
1503 m MD Sopeña Dolom.
1500,36 m MD P/T Sensor 4
1545 m MD Anhidritas
1547,30 m MD end ERT cable
1580 m MD Total Depth
Imaging CO$_2$ in the subsurface - arrays

- Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT)
- Advanced optic fibre – temperature and acoustic
Groundwater protection

- Direct and indirect (i.e. pH) monitoring of CO₂
- Monitor dissolved & gaseous CO₂ tools - improved sensitivity, reduced cost
- Monitor CO₂ plus other components (multiple sensors that can be used in range of scenarios)
Locating leakage

- Sensitive techniques with rapid response
- Lightweight, compact, faster surveys (mounted on mobile ground vehicle or UAV – CO₂ and CH₄ capabilities, combined sensors e.g. CO₂ plus temperature, airborne hyperspectral thermal imaging technology etc)
Effective soil gas monitoring

• Mass deployments of cheap sensors – network of sensors with remote data access
• Building a more complete picture of the shallow subsurface with advanced soil gas probes (CO$_2$, soil moisture etc)
Advanced sampling techniques

- Bio-sensor – using microbiological community as an early indicator of leaking CO$_2$
- Isotopologues – confirming the source of CO$_2$
Quantification of leakage

Examining air just above the ground above the CO$_2$ storage site

- Laser at CO$_2$ absorption frequency plus array of reflectors (CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ capability)
- Analytical technique using point concentration values from CO$_2$ sensor to estimate total CO$_2$ flux
Efficient data analysis, processing and interpretation

- Integrated approach to monitoring storage sites is essential
- Streamlined processes are needed (monitoring, data collation, processing and interpretation, modelling….)
- Automated alert system (ENOS, Hontomin)
Verifying new tools & techniques

- New monitoring technologies require verification at field laboratories and/or sites where CO₂ is naturally seeping to the surface.

- ENOS sites:
  - Sulcis Fault Lab, Italy
  - Natural seepage sites, Italy
  - UK GeoEnergy Test Bed
  - LBr-1 Czech Republic
  - Hontomin, Spain
  - K12-B

- Also enables fine-tuning of deployment strategies
Thanks to ENOS partners plus Andy Chadwick & Jim White, BGS

Ceri Vincent
cvi@bgs.ac.uk

Please come and visit BGS (stand G07) at All Energy 2018

09.05.2018
Thank you for your time
Project structure

Demonstrating technologies for key onshore CO₂ storage issues

- WP1: Ensuring safe storage operations
- WP2: Ensuring storage capacities and cost-effective characterisation
- WP3: Managing leakage risks for protection of the environment and groundwater
- WP4: Integration of CO₂ storage with local economic activities
- WP5: Coordination with local communities
- WP6: Sharing experience worldwide and seeding storage projects in Europe
- WP7: Spreading innovation
- WP8: Promoting CCS through education and training

Preparing a favourable environment for CO₂ storage onshore in Europe
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The UK GeoEnergy Test Bed (GTB)

The GTB is a research facility initiated by the British Geological Survey and the University of Nottingham comprising an instrumented borehole array.

The GTB will:

- **Provide a national facility & catalyse collaboration** between researchers, technology developers and industrial operators.
- **Improve understanding** of impacts and processes in the shallow subsurface.
- Enable development and testing of innovative monitoring technologies.
- **Provide ground truthing** for advanced simulation software.

For ENOS, the GTB will be used to advance innovative monitoring technologies and techniques for detection of fluid migration in the shallow subsurface and leakage.

The GTB site represents a £6M investment to support new and emergent geo-energy sectors critical for a sustainable energy future (including £2.5M UK government-funding through the ERA project).
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Field laboratories – Sulcis Fault Lab (SFL)

CO₂ will be injected into a fault zone (depth c. 250-300 m) to better understand impacts of CO₂ leakage.

SFL will test the sensitivity and effectiveness of monitoring technologies and techniques designed and developed by ENOS partners.

SFL infrastructure is funded by Sardinian Region and National funds – (Center of Excellence for Clean Energy and Research on Electric System)

The SFL project has multiple purposes:

- Study CO₂ migration through faults;
- Examine water-gas-rock interactions including potential changes of groundwater quality;
- Study behaviour & changes in rock / fault parameters by monitoring micro-seismicity and technical rock characteristics;
- Test geochemical and geophysical monitoring tools (in-house manufactured and low cost CO₂ sensors)
- Develop a robust groundwater monitoring strategy
- Increase public engagement and build dialogue with citizens
**LBr-1, Czech Republic**

**Depleted hydrocarbon field** in the Czech part of the Vienna Basin, produced mainly in the 1960s

Tertiary **sandstones** at ca. 1100 m depth

Planned **ENOS** WP3 activities:

**Assessment of leakage risks** through abandoned wells and faults, including possible shallow groundwater contamination
Fieldwork at sites with natural CO$_2$ seeps

- Will use a number of natural sites where CO$_2$ is leaking at the surface to study migration styles, especially along faults. ENOS sites include:
  - Ailano, Latera, San Vittorino Italy
  - Leakage mechanisms: Faults and fracture zones
- ENOS testing rapid survey systems, controls on leakage and is low-level diffuse leakage important? Source attribution methods and quantification tools
WP3  Managing leakage risks for protection of the environment and groundwater

Advance and validate surface and downhole monitoring technologies relevant to onshore storage, including for groundwater protection

Improve understanding on the impacts of leakage and of potential leakage pathways (geological faults and boreholes) to enable a more effective monitoring strategy

Produce best-practice guidelines for a monitoring programme that integrates the newly advanced ENOS technologies and techniques with state-of-the-art commercially available tools

Real-life experience from field laboratories and sites where CO$_2$ is naturally seeping to the surface used to realise these aims (and data made available for future research)

Sites involved:

- Sulcis Fault Lab, Italy
- LBr-1, Czech Republic
- UK GeoEnergy Test Bed
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T3.1 **Groundwater protection:**
Demonstrate the efficacy and to advance techniques and technologies suitable for use in drinking water aquifers.

T3.2 **Understanding risk of CO\(_2\) migration through faults and boreholes** for effective monitoring.

**Task 3.3: Development of surface monitoring tools** towards quantification of CO\(_2\) leakage.

**Task 3.4: Integrated monitoring solution** for leakage detection and quantification:
Groundwater protection – T3.1

Objectives/Impact: Effective monitoring strategies to locate leakage will be developed, the most sensitive parameters highlighted, sensitivity of tools improved and low cost solutions capable of long term deployment developed

Tasks:

- Tool box based on water-gas-rock interaction
- Advance 5 tools; sensitivity and cost optimised for monitoring potable aquifers

Testing one of the downhole tools
Assessing risk presented by faults & boreholes – T3.2

Objectives/Impact:
- Improved understanding of the risk posed by faults
- Improved monitoring strategies based on new understanding
- Data to feed technical guidelines on mitigation of risks through intelligent site design and monitoring strategies based on risk assessment of boreholes and faults

Tasks:
- Assess what makes a fault more likely to leak, model leakage pathways
- Assess effectiveness of geophysical techniques for monitoring of CO₂ migration through fault planes (surface and subsurface)
- Examine expression of leakage through faults at surface for more efficient monitoring
- Modelling and assessment of leakage risk presented by old boreholes; produce technical guidelines/best practice for case study
Development of surface/near surface monitoring tools - T3.3

Objectives/Impact: Advance tools/techniques for CO₂ leakage identification, assessment and quantification (in the unlikely event leakage were to occur). Technologies applicable to onshore storage will be taken to at least TRL6 through field demonstration.

Tasks:
- **Wide areal detection tools** for effective leakage identification (3 tools)
- **Advanced (soil) gas monitoring tools** to confirm CO₂ concentration and source (2 tools/techniques)
- **Quantification** of leakage (2 tools)